

I'm not robot  reCAPTCHA

Continue

Book serie by Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari Capitalism and Schizophrenia AuthorsGilles DeleuzeFélix GuattariOriginal titleCapitalisme et SchizophrénieTranslatorRobert HurleyMark SeemHelen R. LaneBrian MassumiCountryFranceLanguageFrenchSubjectsDesire, Capitalism, PsychoanalysisPublication date1972, 1980Published in English1977, 1987Media typePrint (Hardcover and Paperback) Capitalism and Schizophrenia (French: Capitalisme et Schizophrénie) is a two-volume theoretical work by French writers Jil Delauze and Fleux Guattari, respectively, philosopher and psychoanalyst. Its volume is anti-Oedipus (1972, Trans 1977) and Thousand Plateaus (1980, Trans 1987). Delauze translator Brian Masumeh observes that books vary greatly in tone, content and composing. [1] References ^ Massumi, Brian (1993). A User's Guide to Capitalism and Schizophrenia: Deviations from Deleuze and Guattari. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press. pp. 1–4. ISBN 0-262-63143-1. Sources Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. 1972. Anti-Œdipus. Terrence Robert Hurley, Mark and Helen R. Lane London and New York: Continuum, 2004. volume 1 capitalism and schizophrenia . 2 vols. 1972–1980. Trans from L'Anti-Oedipe. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7695-3. ---. 1980,000 Terence Brian Masumi London and New York: Continuum, 2004. Volume 2 of Capitalism and Schizophrenia. 2 vols. 1972–1980. Terrence of Mill Plateaux. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7694-5. Guattari, Félix. 1984- Molecular Revolution: Psychiatry and Politics. Terrence Rosemary Schedd Harmondsworth: Penguin. ISBN 0-14-055160-3. ---. 1995. Chaosophy. Ed Sylvère Lotringer. Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents Ser. New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-57027-019-8. ---. 1996. Soft Trough. Ed Sylvère Lotringer. Terrence David L. Sweet and Chat Wiener Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents Ser. New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-57027-030-9. masumi, brian . 1992.A User's Guide to Capitalism and Schizophrenia: Deviations from Deleuze and Guattari. Swerve versions. Cambridge, United States and London: MIT. ISBN 0-262-63143-1. External links read notes about Deleuze and Guattari, capitalism and schizophrenia by Michael Hardt This article is about a book about political philosophy or the theory of a tenacious one. You can help Wikipedia by expanding it.vte Retrieved from near mouseover drawer for online data attention user guide to capitalism and schizophrenia is a playful and vaguely practical explanation of the major collaborative work of French philosophers Gilles Deleuze and Fleux Guattari. When read along with his hard-line textual notes, the book also becomes the richest scholarly treatment of deloy's entire philosophical overtone, available in any language. Finally, dozens of explicit examples furnished by Brian Masumi of contemporary urban artistic, scientific and folk culture put the book into one Perhaps even the central text within the current debate about modern culture and politics. Capitalism and schizophrenia are the public title of two books that have been published a decade apart. The first, anti-Odeep, was a reaction to the events of May/June 1968; The latter, 1,000 plateaus, is an attempt to propose a positive statement of the kind of philosophy of The Downst of Delosé and Guatari as an alternative to state philosophy. Out of Print ISBN: 9780262132824 240 pp. | 6 in x 9 in March 1992 \$29.95 T ISBN: 9780262631433 240 pp. | 6 in x 9 in March 1992 Brian Massumi is Professor in the Department of Communication Sciences at the University of Montréal. He is the author of Parables for the Virtual: Movement, Affect, Sensation and A User's Guide to Capitalism and Schizophrenia: Deviations from Deleuze and Guattari (MIT Press). Published in 1972, anti-Odeep was the first of a number of collaborative works between the French philosopher, Gyl Deloese, and the French psychoanalyst and political activist, Felixi Guattari. As the first two-volume body of work that handles subtitles, capitalism and schizophrenia, the anti-Oedipus is to say the least, an unconventional effect that needs to be understood, in part, as a product of its time -- created as it did amid the political and revolutionary fervor created by the events of 'May 1968'. However, the paper will show that anti-Udip -- as a critique of psychoanalysis and the Oedipus collection, as well as the study of the relationship between capitalism and schizophrenia -- should also be understood in less 'limited time' fashion. In particular, the paper will examine Delzoze and Guattari's formulation of the concept of 'desire' and its employment in relation to subjectiveness, time, capitalism, representation, and radical 'therapeutic' practice, which they call 'schizoanalysis'. Also, nearly 40 years after the events of May 1968 and in the face of possible doubts about the contemporary relationship of psychoanalysis, it will be suggested that psychoanalysis and the Oedipus collection serve as a sign of the wider 'weakness' that can be detected in psychiatry, psychotherapy, and the contemporary capitalist community itself, and that it constitutes the broader purpose of book criticism. Accordingly, by providing an accessible and critical introduction to anti-Oedipus, the paper also hopes to provoke further discussion and research on both criticism and contributions that work can do in contemporary psychiatry, psychotherapy, and mental health nursing as a whole. 1972 book by Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari Anti-Oedipus Cover of the first editionAuthorsGilles DeleuzeFélix GuattariOriginal titleCapitalisme et schizophrénie. L'anti-ŒdipeTranslatorsRobert HurleyMark SeemHelen R. LaneCountryFranceLanguageFrenchSubjectPsychoanalysisPublisherLes Editions de Minuit, Viking date1972Published in English1977Media typePrint (Hardcover and Paperback)Pages494 (French edition)400 (University of Minnesota Press edition)ISBN0-8166-1225-0 (University of Minnesota Press edition)Followed byKafka: Towards a Minor Literature (1975) Anti-Oedipus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia (French: Capitalisme et schizophrénie. L'anti-ydipe is a book by French writers Zyl Delauze and Fleux Guattari, who is a philosopher and psychoanalytic, respectively. This is the first volume of capitalism and schizophrenia, the second volume of which is 1,000 plateaus (1980). Deleuze and Guattari analyzed the relationship of desire for reality especially through schizophrenia and psychosis, and to the capitalist community; [1] They produced a material psychiatric outline modeled on the subconscious in their relationship with their productive processes built on the desirable concept of production (which interferes with desirable machines and bodies without organs), offering a schizoanalytic critique of Sigmund Freud that focuses on his theory of the Oedipus collection, and reclaiming Karl Marx's material account of the history of society's production states as a development through primitive despotic and capitalist societies that ultimately They are oedipalized. They also display the details of their various production organizations, Inscription (an action that has been inserted into all social institutions that conforms to Marx's distribution and exchange) and displays more money? and develops a critical practice called schizophrenia, which the book suggests. Other think-on authors draw and criticize include Baruch Espinosa, Imanuel Kant, Charles February, Friedrich Nietzsche, Charles Saunders Pierce, Carl Jung, Melanie Klein, Karl Jaspers, Louis Mumford, Karl-August Wittfogel, Wilhelm Reich, Georges Butli, Lewis Lewis. They are also elected to the 2016 UEFA Cup, the 2016 Uefa Champions World Cup, the 2016 Uefa Champion. Creative writers and artists such as Antonin Artewood, Samuel Beckett, Georg Butchner, Samuel Butler, D.H. Lawrence, Henry Miller, Marcel Proust, Arthur Rimbaud, Daniel Paul Schreiber, Adolf Wolfley, Václav Nissenki, Gerard de Nerval and GMW Turner. [2] Anti-Oedipus has been seen as a sequel to Nietzsche DeJars' book. [3] Anti-Oedipus became a post-publication sensation and became a widely celebrated work that changed contemporary philosophy. It is seen as a key text in Micropolitics Mill, alongside the economy of Libidinal Lyotard (1974). This credibility has been credited with having devastated France's Lacanian movement, although schizoanalysis is considered incomplete for numerous reasons, including Deleuze and Guattari's freely claims for schizophrenia. Schizoanalysis Deleuze & Guattari Summary That Richard Lindner's Painting Boy by Car (1954) schizoanalytic thesis shows the superiority of social investments of desire over its family ventures: Turgid's little boy has already attached a desirable car to a social machine, the parent short circuit. [4] The main article: Schizoanalysis Deleuze and Guattari's schizoanalysis is a militant social and political analysis that responds to what they see as psychoanalytic reactionary tendencies. [5] This proposal proposes a functional assessment of direct investments of desire - whether revolutionary or reactionary - in a context that is social, biological, historical, and geographical. [6] Deleuze and Guattari develop four theses of schizoanalysis: Every unconscious libidinal investment is social and bears upon a socio-historical field. Unconscious group libido investments or desire are distinct from unconscious class or interest investments. Non-family sexual desire investments are a social context in relation to early family investments. Social libido ventures are distinguished by two poles: a paranoia, reactionary, revealing pole and a revolutionary pole of schizophrenia. [7] In contrast to psychoanalysis, schizoanalysis assumes that libido does not require de-sexualization, sobelimization, or going through metamorphosis in order to invest economic or political factors. Sexuality is everywhere: the way a bureaucrat caresses his record, a judge runs justice, a businessman makes money circulate, the way the bourgeoisie subverses the proletariat, and so on. [...] Flags, nations, armies, banks have provoked a lot of people, he said. [8] In terms of classical Marxism, desire is part of the economic base under the structure of society, they argue, not an ideological and subjective superstructure. [9] Unconscious investments desire to coexist without necessarily coinciding with unconscious investments made according to the ideological needs or interests of the subject (individual or collective) it wants. [10] A form of social production and reproduction, coupled with economic and financial mechanisms, its political formations and the like, can be desired in a way, entirely or in part, independent of the desired interests of the subject. This was not by metaphor, not even a peddy metaphor, that Hitler was able to sexually archange fascists. It is not by metaphor that a bank transaction or stock market, a claim, a voucher, a credit, can encourage people who are not necessarily bankers. And what are the effects of money that grows, money that generates more money? There are socioeconomic complexes that are also real unconscious complexes, linking a voluptuous wave from top to bottom of their hierarchy (military-industrial complex). And ideology, Oedipus, and Phallus have nothing to do with it, because they depend on it. Instead of being motivated by it. [11] Schizoanalysis seeks to show how in the subject it wants, desire can be made to desire self-repression-when the role of death instinct in the circuit connects desire to the social sphere. [12] Mill produces even the most oppressive and lethal forms of social reproduction. [13] Optimal machinery and social production Main article: Optimal production of traditional understanding of desire assumes a unique distinction between production and acquisition. [14] This line of thought—which western philosophy has throughout its history dominated and drawn from Plato to Freud and Lacan—understands desire through the concept of acquisition, as far as the desire to seek to obtain something that it lacks. This prevailing notion, Deloyé and Guattari argue, is a kind of philosophical idealism. [15] Alternative notions, which treat desire as a positive, productive force, have received far less attention; the ideas of a small number of philosophers who have developed them, however, are of crucial importance to the Deleuze and Guattari project: mainly Nietzsche's desire for power and Conatus Espinosa. [16] Delauze and Guattari argue that desire is a positive production process that produces reality. [17] Based on three passive synthesis (partly modeled on Kant's synthesis of his critique of pure intellect), engineers desire minor objects, currents, and bodies serving autopoiesis from the subconscious. [18] In this model, the desire does not lack its object; [17] Accordingly, Deloiza and Guatari develop themselves in the case of desirable-production. Because desire produces reality, social production with its forces and relationships is itself merely and simply desirable to produce in decisive circumstances. [13] Like his contemporary, R. D. Laing, and like the Reich before them, Deleuze and Guattari establish a link between psychological repression and social oppression. Using your concept of optimal production, however,

your way of doing so is fundamentally different. They describe a cosmos made up of desirable machines, all of which are connected to each other: There are no desirable machines that are formed outside of social machines that are formed on a large scale; and no social machine without desirable machines that inhabit them on a small scale. [20] When they insist that a social context may be invested directly by desire, they oppose Freud's concept of sabblymation, which the inherent dichotomy between desirable-machines and social production. This dualism, they argue, has limited and trapped the revolutionary potential of Laing and Reich theories. Deleuze and Guatari critique of Freud and Lacan's psychoanalysis, anti-psychiatry and Freudo-Marxism (by insisting on the necessary mediation between the two realms of desire and social). Deluze and Guattari's concept of sexuality is not limited to the interaction of male and female gender roles, but instead muses several times the currents that create a desirable 100,000 machine within their connected world; Loving is not just becoming one, or even two, but becoming 100,000 people, adding that we always make love to worlds. [21] Reframing the Oedipal complex The anti- part of their critique of the Freudian Oedipal complex begins with that original model's articulation of society[clarification needed] based on the family triangle of father, mother and child. Criticizing psychoanalysis of family-based psychoanalysis, they want to show that the family Oedipal model is a form of organization that should colonize its members, suppress their demands, and give them complexity if it is to act as a community organizing principle. [The required page] Instead of imagining the family as a domain that has a larger social sphere, and giving a logical default to the family triangle, Deleuze and Guattari argue that the family should be opened up on social, as in Bergson's notion of openness, and beneath the pseudo-opposition between the family (composed of personal and social themes), lies the relationship between pre-individual desire and social production. Furthermore, they argue that schizophrenia is an extreme psychological state aligned with the capitalist system itself,[22] and capitalism constantly implements nervousness as a way to maintain normality. However, they oppose a non-clinical concept of schizophrenia as a deterrent to the final clinical outcome of schizophrenia (as one of them does not intend to romantically romantic mental disorders; instead, they, like Foucault, show that mental disorders are always second to the other). The body without organs Deleuze and Guattari describes BwO as an egg: it is crisscrossed with axes and thresholds, with latitude and longitude and geodesic lines, passing by gradient markers and becoming, the subject destination developing along these particular vectors. [23] Main article: Body without organs Deleuze and Guattari develop their concept of body without limbs (often rendered as BwO) from antonin Artaud text to perform by god's judgment. Because desire can exist in as many shapes as most people to implement it, it should follow new channels and different combinations to realize itself, forming a body without organs for each sample. Desire is neither limited to the affections of a subject nor the material state of the subject. Organless bodies cannot be forced or wanted to create, however, and they are essentially the product of a zero-intensity condition that Deleuze and Guattari link to catatonic schizophrenia that has also become the death model. Criticism of Deleuze and Guattari stated the cases of Gérard Mendel, Bela Grunberger and Janine Chassequet-Smirgel, who were among the most prominent members of the Psychoanalysis Association (International Psychoanalysis Association). They argue that this case shows that psychoanalysis enthusiastically embraces a police state:[24] In the case of those who refuse to be ovulation in one way or another, at one end or another in treatment, the psychoanalyst is there to call for asylum or police assistance. The police on our side!—never displayed psychoanalysis of their tastes to support the movement of social repression, and to participate with better enthusiasm. [...] Notice of the prevailing tone in the most respected forums: consider Dr. Mandel and Dr. Stéphane, the state of anger that they have, and the literally police-like appeal of themselves in the thought that someone might try to escape dragnet odipal. Oedipus is one of those things that the less people believe in, the more dangerous it becomes, so the cops are there to replace the great priests Bela Grunberger and Janine Chassequet-Smirgel were two psychoanalysts from the Paris section of the International PsychoanalyticAI Association. In November 1968, they disguised themselves as Andre Stephens and published the L'univers Contestationnaire, in which they argued that the rioters on the left of May 68 were totalitarian Stalinists, and proceeded to psychoanalysis them as they suffered from an ominous infant caught up in the Odipal rebellion against his father. [25] [26] Jacques Lacan considered the book grunberger and Shasget-Smirgel with great aloofness; [26] While they were still disguised by aliases, Lacan stated that he was sure that neither of the two writers belonged to his school, as none of himself had such a low-base driven. [27] IPA analysts responded by accusing the Lakan school of intellectual terrorism. [25] Gérard Mendel published La révolte contre le père (1968) and Pour décoloniser l'enfant (1971). Fascism, family, and the desire for favorable oppression of Deloiza and Gautari sought to solve a fundamental problem of political philosophy: the contradictory phenomenon by which a person or group comes to the desire for their own oppression. [28] This contradiction was briefly mentioned by the 17th-century philosopher Espinosa: Why are men fighting so stubbornly for their servitude as if it were their salvation? [29] This is how people might pay for more taxes! Less bread!? Wilhelm Reich discussed this phenomenon in the 1933 book Mass Psychology of Fascism:[30] The amazing thing is not that some people steal or others go on strike from time to time, but that not all those who are hungry steal as a regular act, and all those exploited are not constantly on strike. : After centuries of exploitation, why people still endure humiliation and slavery, to such In fact, do they actually want humiliation and slavery not only for others but for themselves? To address this question, Delauze and Guatari examine the relationships between the social organization, power and desire, particularly with regard to Freudian 'Oedipus's Collection' and its family-mindedness mechanisms (Baba-Mom-I). They argue that the nuclear family is the most powerful cause of psychological repression under which the wishes of children and adolescents are suppressed and perverse. [32] [33] Such psychological repression constitutes those who are easy targets for social repression. [34] Using this powerful mechanism, the dominant class, the construction of cuts (coupes) and segregation are transferred to a social context, ultimately controlling individuals or groups, and ensuring public submission. This explains a paradoxical phenomenon in which people openly act against their class interests—when they rally to the interests and aspirations of a class that their objective situation should lead them to fight. [35] Deloiza and Guattari's critique of these mechanisms seeks to promote a revolutionary liberation from desire: if desire is suppressed, it is because every position of desire, no matter how small, is able to question the established order of a society; not that desire is unsocial, on the contrary. But this is explosive; There is no desirable machine capable of assembling without demolishing the entire social sector. Despite what some revolutionaries think about this, desire is revolutionary in its month - desire, not left holidays! —And no society can tolerate a position of true desire without the compromise of its structures of exploitation, servitude and hierarchy. [36] The family under capitalism, as a suppression agent of the family, is the cause of capitalist production, to which psychological repression delegates the child's wishes. [37] Psychological repression is distinct from social oppression as far as the unconscious works. [38] Through it, Deloyze and Guattari argue, parents pass on their troubling and irrational fears to their child and connect the child's sexual desires to feelings of shame and guilt. Psychological repression is strongly linked to the social oppression on which it leverages. It is thanks to psychological repression that individuals become servants of social repression who come to the desire of their repression and accept a miserable life as an employee for capitalism. [39] A capitalist society needs a powerful tool to counter the explosive force of desire that has the potential to threaten its exploitation structures, servitude and hierarchy; [40] Family action not only suppresses the psychic desire, but also miscly speaks it, and thereby distorts incest and desirable drives. Oedipus's collection stems from this double operation: It is in one and the same movement that repressive social production replaces the repressive family, and the latter presents a displaced image of desirable-production, indicating the repression as incest family drives. [38] Capitalism and the territorial political economy of desire, deterrence, and reteurism, although (like most Delzo-Guatarian terms) deterring purposeful variances in meaning throughout its oeuvre, it can almost be described as a move away from the rigid hierarchy imposed, the arboreescent context, which seeks Packing things (concepts, objects, etc.) into discrete classified units with singular coded meanings or identity, towards a rhimatic area of multiplier and oscillating identity, where meanings and operations flow freely between said, and therefore dynamic, constantly changing sets of interconnected entities with fuzzy individual boundaries. What matters is that the concept implies continuity, not a simple binary - each actual assembly (a flexible term refers to the inextricable composition of any complex, individual, social, geological system) is marked by simultaneous territorial movements (maintenance) and deterrence (loss). Various means of deterrence are noted by writers in their chapter How to Make Yourself a Body Without Limbs on a Thousand Plateaus, including psychotropics like piots. Experimentially, the effects of such materials can include the loosening (relative deterrence) of the user's world of nose (as one of his beliefs, models, etc.), which subsequently leads to antiterrorialization (reconstruction of beliefs, models, etc.), which is not necessarily the same as the previous territory. Deterrence is closely related to Delzo-Guatarian concepts such as flight line, stratification and body without limb/BwO (a term borrowed from Artode), and is sometimes defined as somewhat interchangeable with these terms (specifically in the second part of capitalism and schizophrenia, a thousand plateaus). Deleuze and Guattari posit that dramatic reterritorialization often seeks relative deterritorialization, while absolute deterritorialization is just that... Absolute deterrence without any deterrence. Terms borrowed from Science A string vector on a domain. During their arguments, Deloiza and Guattari borrow a number of concepts from different scientific backgrounds. To describe the milling process, they draw on fluid dynamics, the physics branch that studies how a fluid flows into space. They describe society as a vector field in terms of forces. They also relate their bodyless processes to the embryology of an egg from which they borrow the concept of an inducer. [41] Acceptance and influence of philosopher Michel He wrote that anti-Oedipus can best be read as an art, meaning it is transmitted by the term erotic art. Foucault considers the three enemies of the book to be bureaucrats of the revolution, poor mill technicians (psychoanalysts and semiologists), and the great enemy, fascism. Foucault used the term fascism to refer to not only historical fascism, Hitler fascism and Mussolini... Rather, fascism in all of us, in our heads and in our everyday behavior, fascism, which makes us love power, would like to see what dominates and exploits us. Foucault added, adding that anti-Odeep is the book of ethics, the first book of ethics written in France in a very long time and suggested that it explains its popular success. Foucault suggested that the book could be called an introduction to non-fascist life. Foucault argued that putting the principles raised in anti-Oedeep into action included freeing political action from unified paranoia and totaling and withdrawing loyalty from old negative categories (law, limit, castration, non-laonaa) that Western thought has long kept sacred as a form of power and access to reality. [42] Psychiatrist David Cooper described anti-Oedipus as a magnificent vision of madness as a revolutionary force and credited his writers with using psychoanalytic language and Soussé discourse (and his successors) to pit linguistics against themselves in what is currently proving to be a historical act of compassion. [43] Critic Frederick Cruz wrote that when Deleuze and Guattari indicted Lakani's psychoanalysis as a capitalist disorder and pilloried analysts as the most sinister manipulative priests of a psychotic society, their demonstrations were widely regarded as unac reasonseable and devastated by the now small Lakani camp in Paris. [44] Philosopher Douglas Kelner described anti-Odeep as a feeling of dissemination of its era, and along with the Libidinal economy of Jean-François Lithardt (1974), was a key text in Micropolitics of Desire. Psychologist Joel Koval wrote that Deluze and Guattari presented the definitive challenge to the family's elegance, but did so in the spirit of nihilism, commenting that immersing itself in its world of schizoper machines and desirable is enough to make a person crave the safe madness of the nuclear family. He presented a timely critique of psychoanalysis and Lacanianism at the time of its publication in France. However, he added that most commentators will now agree that Shizu analysis is fatally flawed and that there are numerous major protests that can be brought against the anti-Oedipus. In his view, even if subjectiveness may have been helpfully competent and restored, it would be wrong to assume that desire is naturally And subversive. He believed that Deleuze and Goiter did not see the individual as more than different organs, intensities and currents, not a complex and contradictory identity, and made freely false claims for schizophrenia. He also argued that Delois and Guattari's work evies problems interpreting contemporary culture because of the rejection of institutionalization in this way that obscures the liberal difference between democracy and fascism and leaves Delois and Guatari with little more than the romantic and idealistic fantasy of the hero of schizophrenia. He wrote that Anti-Odeep follows a similar theoretical path to Libidinal Lyotard's economy, though he sees several notable differences between Deleuze and Guattari on the one hand and Lyotard on the other. [47] Some of Gautari's memoir entries, correspondence with Delosé and notes on the development of the post-death book were published as anti-Udeep articles (2004). [48] Philosopher Mikkel Burch-Jacobsen and psychologist Sono Shemdasani wrote that instead of shaking their confidence in anti-Udeep's magnificent provocations and violence, the psychoanalytic profession felt that the discussions raised by the book of discipline legitimize them. [49] Joshua Rami wrote that while passing to Deleuze and Guattari's body without limbs is fraught with danger and even pain... The anti-Udeep point is not to make that violence or that suffering splendid. Rather, it is important to show that there is a viable level of dyncscribed [sic] experience, the philosopher Alan De Sharif wrote in the Cambridge Philosophy Dictionary (2015), which anti-Odeep read as a major expression of the philosophy of desire and deep critique of psychoanalysis. [51] See also the philosophy of the anti-psychiatric portal feminism and Oedipus complicates the ID, ego, and super-ego La Board Clinic of Madness and Nietzsche Civilization and Objet petit aircraft philosophy of the analyst-faith psychological concepts of the language suppressing the psychological castration anxiety notes ^ Foucault (1977, 14). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1980, 423–427). ^ Seem (1977, xviii, xx). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 8, 51, 392). Painting form the front piece of anti-Odeep. ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 54, 108, 127–128, 325-xx). Deleuze and Guattari argue that there was no particular turning point in Freudian theoretical development in which it became reactionary; instead, it contained revolutionary, reformist and reactionary elements from the outset. We refuse to play take it or leave it, they wrote. This ambiguous political mix of tendencies arises in psychoanalysis, they argue, of its ambiguous relationship with its discoveries: It was as if not every great doctrine of hybrid formation was made up of various intermittent bits and fragments, codes and fluxes, minor elements and derivatives that constitute life or conversion. It's like we... Blames someone for having an ambiguous relationship with psychoanalysis, without first pointing out that psychoanalysis owes its existence to a relationship, theoretically and practically ambiguously, with what it discovers and the forces it uses (1972, 128). Despite the militant of the proposed analysis within the Deloese and Guattari project, they insist that no political program will be described within the framework of analysis (1972, 415). Guattari developed the implications of their theory for a tangible political project in his book with the Italian self-nemeist Marxist philosopher Antonio Negri, communists like us (1985). For the changing relationships between capital society and revolutionary autonomous territories, look at Deloese and Guatari (1972, 410). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 93, 115, 322–333, 354, 400). ^ First thesis (1972, 375); Second thesis (1972, 377); Third thesis (1972, 390); Fourth Thesis (1972, 401). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 322–333). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 114, 378). In failing to recognize this, Deloyze and Guattari argue, Wilhelm Reich fell short of the materialistic psychiatry he was aiming for and failed to provide enough answers to his question Why do the masses tend to fascism? ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 114, 322). Deleuze and Guattari qualify for this distinction between unconscious desire and subconscious need or interest when they write: It is undoubtedly true that our interests are prone to investing given libido; However, they went on to insist once again that the interests are not the same with this investment (1972, 379). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 114–115). ^ Section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, pp. 98, 105 ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 26). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 26). ^ Kant's analysis of superstitious beliefs, fantasies and fantasies in critique of his judgment treats desire as a creative, productive force, Deleuze and Guattari explain, although his analysis limits its effects to the production of a psychological reality and thus maintains the credibility of desire as a deficiency; (1972, 26–27). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 28). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 28), Guattari (1992, 15), and Holland (1999, 25). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 1–9). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 373). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 323, 325). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 34–35) ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 21). ^ section 2.4 The disjunctive synthesis of recording p. 89 ^ a b Jean-Michel Rabaté (2009) 68+ 1: Lacan's année érotique published in Parrhesia, Issue 6 • 2009 pp. 28–45 ^ André Stéphane [Bela Grunberger and Janine Chasselet-Smirguel], L'Univers Contestationnaire (Paris: Payot, 1969). ^ Jacques Lacan, The Seminars of Jacques Lacan, Seminar XVI D'un Autre à l'autre, 1968–9, p. 266 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31); see also Deleuze and Foucault (1972, 212). ^ In Theologico-Political Treatise, p.123–32 ^ Holland (1999) p. 57 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 396). ^ Anti-Oedipus, section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, Desire and the infrastructure, p.104 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 126–127). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130–131). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 92–93, 100–101). Deleuze and Guattari develop this relation further in the chapter November 28, 1947: How Do You Make Yourself a Body Without Organs?in their sequel to Anti-Oedipus, A Thousand Plateaus (1980, 165–184). ^ Foucault, Michel; Deleuze, Gilles; Guattari, Félix (1992). صد اودیپ. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. pp. xii–xiii. ISBN 0-8166-1225-0. ^ Cooper, David (1978). زبان جنون. London: Allen Lane. p. 138. ISBN 0-7139-1118-2. ^ Crews, Frederick (1986). نامردی شکاک. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 176. ISBN 0-19-503950-5. ^ Kelner, Douglas (1989). Jean Baudrillard: From Marxism to Postmodernism and Beyond. Cambridge: Polity Press. 127, 223. ISBN 0-7456-0562-1. ^ Kovel, Joel (1991). History and Spirit: An Inquiry into the Philosophy of Liberation. Boston: Beacon Press. ۸۲, ۲۵۵. ISBN 0-8070-2916-5. ^ Elliott, Anthony (2002). نظریه روانکاوانه: مقدمه ای. New York: Palgrave. ۱۶۱–۱۶۲. صغحه ISBN 0-333-91912-2. ^ Guattari (2004). ^ Borch-Jacobsen, Mikkel, Shamdasani, Sonu (2012). The Freud Files: An Inquiry into the History of Psychoanalysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ Ramey, Joshua (2012). The Hermetic Deleuze: Philosophy and Spiritual Ordeal. Durham: Duke University Press. p.199. ISBN 978-0-8223-5229-7. ^ Schrifit, Alan D. (2017). Audi, Robert (ed.). The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 2004. منابع دلوره، زیل. Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents ser. Los Angeles and New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-58435-018-0. Deleuze, Gilles and Michel Foucault. 1972. روشنفکران و قدرت. In Deleuze (2004, 206–213). Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. 1972. ترنس رابرت هرلی، مارک و هلن آر لین. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. 2. مئاج دلوره، فوگو، میشل 1977. Mille Plateaux. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7694-5. در دلوره و گویناری (۱۹۷۲)، سیردهم–. Preface. Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31); see also Deleuze and Foucault (1972, 212). ^ In Theologico-Political Treatise, p.123–32 ^ Holland (1999) p. 57 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 396). ^ Anti-Oedipus, section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, Desire and the infrastructure, p.104 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 126–127). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130–131). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 92–93, 100–101). Deleuze and Guattari develop this relation further in the chapter November 28, 1947: How Do You Make Yourself a Body Without Organs?in their sequel to Anti-Oedipus, A Thousand Plateaus (1980, 165–184). ^ Foucault, Michel; Deleuze, Gilles; Guattari, Félix (1992). صد اودیپ. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. pp. xii–xiii. ISBN 0-8166-1225-0. ^ Cooper, David (1978). زبان جنون. London: Allen Lane. p. 138. ISBN 0-7139-1118-2. ^ Crews, Frederick (1986). نامردی شکاک. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 176. ISBN 0-19-503950-5. ^ Kelner, Douglas (1989). Jean Baudrillard: From Marxism to Postmodernism and Beyond. Cambridge: Polity Press. 127, 223. ISBN 0-7456-0562-1. ^ Kovel, Joel (1991). History and Spirit: An Inquiry into the Philosophy of Liberation. Boston: Beacon Press. ۸۲, ۲۵۵. ISBN 0-8070-2916-5. ^ Elliott, Anthony (2002). نظریه روانکاوانه: مقدمه ای. New York: Palgrave. ۱۶۲–۱۶۱. صغحه ISBN 0-333-91912-2. ^ Guattari (2004). ^ Borch-Jacobsen, Mikkel, Shamdasani, Sonu (2012). The Freud Files: An Inquiry into the History of Psychoanalysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ Ramey, Joshua (2012). The Hermetic Deleuze: Philosophy and Spiritual Ordeal. Durham: Duke University Press. p.199. ISBN 978-0-8223-5229-7. ^ Schrifit, Alan D. (2017). Audi, Robert (ed.). The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 2004. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. 2004. منابع دلوره، زیل. Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents ser. Los Angeles and New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-58435-018-0. Deleuze, Gilles and Michel Foucault. 1972. روشنفکران و قدرت. In Deleuze (2004, 206–213). Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. 1972. ترنس رابرت هرلی، مارک و هلن آر لین. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. 2. مئاج دلوره، فوگو، میشل 1977. Mille Plateaux. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7694-5. در دلوره و گویناری (۱۹۷۲)، سیردهم–. Preface. Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31); see also Deleuze and Foucault (1972, 212). ^ In Theologico-Political Treatise, p.123–32 ^ Holland (1999) p. 57 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 396). ^ Anti-Oedipus, section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, Desire and the infrastructure, p.104 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 126–127). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130–131). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 92–93, 100–101). Deleuze and Guattari develop this relation further in the chapter November 28, 1947: How Do You Make Yourself a Body Without Organs?in their sequel to Anti-Oedipus, A Thousand Plateaus (1980, 165–184). ^ Foucault, Michel; Deleuze, Gilles; Guattari, Félix (1992). صد اودیپ. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. pp. xii–xiii. ISBN 0-8166-1225-0. ^ Cooper, David (1978). زبان جنون. London: Allen Lane. p. 138. ISBN 0-7139-1118-2. ^ Crews, Frederick (1986). نامردی شکاک. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 176. ISBN 0-19-503950-5. ^ Kelner, Douglas (1989). Jean Baudrillard: From Marxism to Postmodernism and Beyond. Cambridge: Polity Press. 127, 223. ISBN 0-7456-0562-1. ^ Kovel, Joel (1991). History and Spirit: An Inquiry into the Philosophy of Liberation. Boston: Beacon Press. ۸۲, ۲۵۵. ISBN 0-8070-2916-5. ^ Elliott, Anthony (2002). نظریه روانکاوانه: مقدمه ای. New York: Palgrave. ۱۶۲–۱۶۱. صغحه ISBN 0-333-91912-2. ^ Guattari (2004). ^ Borch-Jacobsen, Mikkel, Shamdasani, Sonu (2012). The Freud Files: An Inquiry into the History of Psychoanalysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ Ramey, Joshua (2012). The Hermetic Deleuze: Philosophy and Spiritual Ordeal. Durham: Duke University Press. p.199. ISBN 978-0-8223-5229-7. ^ Schrifit, Alan D. (2017). Audi, Robert (ed.). The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 2004. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. 2004. منابع دلوره، زیل. Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents ser. Los Angeles and New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-58435-018-0. Deleuze, Gilles and Michel Foucault. 1972. روشنفکران و قدرت. In Deleuze (2004, 206–213). Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. 1972. ترنس رابرت هرلی، مارک و هلن آر لین. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. 2. مئاج دلوره، فوگو، میشل 1977. Mille Plateaux. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7694-5. در دلوره و گویناری (۱۹۷۲)، سیردهم–. Preface. Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31); see also Deleuze and Foucault (1972, 212). ^ In Theologico-Political Treatise, p.123–32 ^ Holland (1999) p. 57 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 396). ^ Anti-Oedipus, section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, Desire and the infrastructure, p.104 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 126–127). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130–131). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 92–93, 100–101). Deleuze and Guattari develop this relation further in the chapter November 28, 1947: How Do You Make Yourself a Body Without Organs?in their sequel to Anti-Oedipus, A Thousand Plateaus (1980, 165–184). ^ Foucault, Michel; Deleuze, Gilles; Guattari, Félix (1992). صد اودیپ. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. pp. xii–xiii. ISBN 0-8166-1225-0. ^ Cooper, David (1978). زبان جنون. London: Allen Lane. p. 138. ISBN 0-7139-1118-2. ^ Crews, Frederick (1986). نامردی شکاک. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 176. ISBN 0-19-503950-5. ^ Kelner, Douglas (1989). Jean Baudrillard: From Marxism to Postmodernism and Beyond. Cambridge: Polity Press. 127, 223. ISBN 0-7456-0562-1. ^ Kovel, Joel (1991). History and Spirit: An Inquiry into the Philosophy of Liberation. Boston: Beacon Press. ۸۲, ۲۵۵. ISBN 0-8070-2916-5. ^ Elliott, Anthony (2002). نظریه روانکاوانه: مقدمه ای. New York: Palgrave. ۱۶۲–۱۶۱. صغحه ISBN 0-333-91912-2. ^ Guattari (2004). ^ Borch-Jacobsen, Mikkel, Shamdasani, Sonu (2012). The Freud Files: An Inquiry into the History of Psychoanalysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ Ramey, Joshua (2012). The Hermetic Deleuze: Philosophy and Spiritual Ordeal. Durham: Duke University Press. p.199. ISBN 978-0-8223-5229-7. ^ Schrifit, Alan D. (2017). Audi, Robert (ed.). The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 2004. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. 2004. منابع دلوره، زیل. Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents ser. Los Angeles and New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-58435-018-0. Deleuze, Gilles and Michel Foucault. 1972. روشنفکران و قدرت. In Deleuze (2004, 206–213). Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. 1972. ترنس رابرت هرلی، مارک و هلن آر لین. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. 2. مئاج دلوره، فوگو، میشل 1977. Mille Plateaux. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7694-5. در دلوره و گویناری (۱۹۷۲)، سیردهم–. Preface. Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31); see also Deleuze and Foucault (1972, 212). ^ In Theologico-Political Treatise, p.123–32 ^ Holland (1999) p. 57 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 396). ^ Anti-Oedipus, section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, Desire and the infrastructure, p.104 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 126–127). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130–131). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 92–93, 100–101). Deleuze and Guattari develop this relation further in the chapter November 28, 1947: How Do You Make Yourself a Body Without Organs?in their sequel to Anti-Oedipus, A Thousand Plateaus (1980, 165–184). ^ Foucault, Michel; Deleuze, Gilles; Guattari, Félix (1992). صد اودیپ. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. pp. xii–xiii. ISBN 0-8166-1225-0. ^ Cooper, David (1978). زبان جنون. London: Allen Lane. p. 138. ISBN 0-7139-1118-2. ^ Crews, Frederick (1986). نامردی شکاک. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 176. ISBN 0-19-503950-5. ^ Kelner, Douglas (1989). Jean Baudrillard: From Marxism to Postmodernism and Beyond. Cambridge: Polity Press. 127, 223. ISBN 0-7456-0562-1. ^ Kovel, Joel (1991). History and Spirit: An Inquiry into the Philosophy of Liberation. Boston: Beacon Press. ۸۲, ۲۵۵. ISBN 0-8070-2916-5. ^ Elliott, Anthony (2002). نظریه روانکاوانه: مقدمه ای. New York: Palgrave. ۱۶۲–۱۶۱. صغحه ISBN 0-333-91912-2. ^ Guattari (2004). ^ Borch-Jacobsen, Mikkel, Shamdasani, Sonu (2012). The Freud Files: An Inquiry into the History of Psychoanalysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ Ramey, Joshua (2012). The Hermetic Deleuze: Philosophy and Spiritual Ordeal. Durham: Duke University Press. p.199. ISBN 978-0-8223-5229-7. ^ Schrifit, Alan D. (2017). Audi, Robert (ed.). The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 2004. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. 2004. منابع دلوره، زیل. Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents ser. Los Angeles and New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-58435-018-0. Deleuze, Gilles and Michel Foucault. 1972. روشنفکران و قدرت. In Deleuze (2004, 206–213). Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. 1972. ترنس رابرت هرلی، مارک و هلن آر لین. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. 2. مئاج دلوره، فوگو، میشل 1977. Mille Plateaux. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7694-5. در دلوره و گویناری (۱۹۷۲)، سیردهم–. Preface. Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31); see also Deleuze and Foucault (1972, 212). ^ In Theologico-Political Treatise, p.123–32 ^ Holland (1999) p. 57 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 396). ^ Anti-Oedipus, section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, Desire and the infrastructure, p.104 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 126–127). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130–131). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 92–93, 100–101). Deleuze and Guattari develop this relation further in the chapter November 28, 1947: How Do You Make Yourself a Body Without Organs?in their sequel to Anti-Oedipus, A Thousand Plateaus (1980, 165–184). ^ Foucault, Michel; Deleuze, Gilles; Guattari, Félix (1992). صد اودیپ. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. pp. xii–xiii. ISBN 0-8166-1225-0. ^ Cooper, David (1978). زبان جنون. London: Allen Lane. p. 138. ISBN 0-7139-1118-2. ^ Crews, Frederick (1986). نامردی شکاک. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 176. ISBN 0-19-503950-5. ^ Kelner, Douglas (1989). Jean Baudrillard: From Marxism to Postmodernism and Beyond. Cambridge: Polity Press. 127, 223. ISBN 0-7456-0562-1. ^ Kovel, Joel (1991). History and Spirit: An Inquiry into the Philosophy of Liberation. Boston: Beacon Press. ۸۲, ۲۵۵. ISBN 0-8070-2916-5. ^ Elliott, Anthony (2002). نظریه روانکاوانه: مقدمه ای. New York: Palgrave. ۱۶۲–۱۶۱. صغحه ISBN 0-333-91912-2. ^ Guattari (2004). ^ Borch-Jacobsen, Mikkel, Shamdasani, Sonu (2012). The Freud Files: An Inquiry into the History of Psychoanalysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ Ramey, Joshua (2012). The Hermetic Deleuze: Philosophy and Spiritual Ordeal. Durham: Duke University Press. p.199. ISBN 978-0-8223-5229-7. ^ Schrifit, Alan D. (2017). Audi, Robert (ed.). The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 251. ISBN 978-1-107-64379-6. ^ 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 2004. جزایر بیابانی و متنون دیگر. 1974–1953. ترنس ماگل تانورمینا دیوید لاپوچاد. 2004. منابع دلوره، زیل. Semiotext(e) Foreign Agents ser. Los Angeles and New York: Semiotext(e). ISBN 1-58435-018-0. Deleuze, Gilles and Michel Foucault. 1972. روشنفکران و قدرت. In Deleuze (2004, 206–213). Deleuze, Gilles and Félix Guattari. 1972. ترنس رابرت هرلی، مارک و هلن آر لین. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. 2. مئاج دلوره، فوگو، میشل 1977. Mille Plateaux. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit. ISBN 0-8264-7694-5. در دلوره و گویناری (۱۹۷۲)، سیردهم–. Preface. Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 31); see also Deleuze and Foucault (1972, 212). ^ In Theologico-Political Treatise, p.123–32 ^ Holland (1999) p. 57 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 396). ^ Anti-Oedipus, section 2.5 The Conjunctive Synthesis of Consumption-Consummation, Desire and the infrastructure, p.104 ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 126–127). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130–131). ^ a b Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 130). ^ Deleuze and Guattari (1972, 92–93, 100–101). Deleuze and Guattari develop this relation further in the chapter November 28, 1947: How Do You Make Yourself a Body Without Organs?in their sequel to Anti-Oedipus, A Thousand Plateaus (1980, 165–184). ^ Foucault, Michel; Deleuze, Gilles; Guattari, Félix (1992). صد اودیپ. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. pp. xii–xiii. ISBN 0-8166-1225-0. ^ Cooper, David (1978). زبان جنون. London: Allen Lane. p. 138. ISBN 0-7139-1118-2. ^ Crews, Frederick (